EXHIBIT 10 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 2 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
 6
                    Plaintiff,
 7
        VS.
                                             Case No.
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
 8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
 9
                   Defendants.
10
11
12
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY
13
          VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION of WAYMO LLC
14
          by and through its Designated Representative
15
                    ASLAN (SHAWN) BANANZADEH
16
17
                    San Francisco, California
                    Thursday, August 24, 2017
18
                            Volume I
19
20
21
     Reported by:
22
     MARY J. GOFF
     CSR No. 13427
     Job No. 2688513
23
24
     PAGES 1 - 235
25
                                                      Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 3 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4
                                          )
5
     WAYMO LLC,
                                          )
6
 7
                    Plaintiff,
                                             Case No.
        VS.
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
9
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
                   Defendants.
10
11
12
13
           Highly Confidential Videotaped Deposition of
14
     ASLAN (SHAWN) BANANZADEH, Volume I, taken on behalf
15
     of Plaintiff Waymo LLC, at Morrison & Foerster LLP,
     425 Market Street, 33rd Floor, San Francisco,
16
     California, 94105, beginning at 9:54 a.m. and ending
17
     at 5:53 p.m., on August 24, 2017, before MARY GOFF,
18
19
     Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 13427.
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                     Page 2
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 4 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	A Yes, insofar as I saw it yesterday.	12:46:45
2	Q Before yesterday, had you seen this	12:46:48
3	document?	12:46:49
4	A I hadn't seen the document, but I was	12:46:51
5	generally familiar with the 2011 special bonus plan.	12:46:54
6	Q The final document listed in Waymo's	12:46:59
7	Interrogatory Response No. 6 for Trade Secret 2 is	12:47:02
8	WAYMO-UBER-00014489.	12:47:06
9	This document was previously marked as	12:47:13
10	Exhibit 1416. Exhibit 1416 is a letter from Google,	12:47:16
11	in particular, David , who was vice president	12:47:32
12	of corporate development at the time, to Anthony	12:47:38
13	Levandowksi, dated April 13, 2011.	12:47:40
14	Do you recognize this document?	12:47:46
15	A Only insofar as I read it yesterday.	12:47:49
16	Q Before yesterday had you seen this	12:47:53
17	document?	12:47:55
18	A No.	12:47:55
19	Q Looking back at Exhibit 1520, which is	12:48:47
20	Waymo's interrogatory responses, on page 103, the	12:48:50
21	next sentence reads, That information referring	12:48:55
22	to the five documents listed before that sentence	12:49:00
23	reflects that costs Waymo necessarily incurred for	12:49:10
24	the development, Trade Secret 2 are in the	12:49:13
25		12:49:18
		Page 85

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 5 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Did you help prepare that	12:49:24
2	range number for Trade Secret 2?	12:49:28
3	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:49:30
4	A So so so again, insofar as that	12:49:34
5	number is derived from the numbers that you see of,	12:49:37
6	like, the historical spend at Waymo, to the extent	12:49:39
7	it incorporates those numbers, I would say yes.	12:49:44
8	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you know how that	12:49:48
9	number was calculated?	12:49:54
10	A So my understanding is that and this	12:49:59
11	is, I believe, a a formulation based on the	12:50:04
12	expert's calculations. But what what it is is a	12:50:08
13	summation am I allowed to alter this just so I	12:50:12
14	can read it easier? Can I make it into dollars?	12:50:19
15	No?	12:50:23
16	Q Yes, we yes, we can, but I think we	12:50:24
17	would then need to mark it as new exhibit, so	12:50:25
18	A Okay.	12:50:28
19	Q what we'll do is we'll mark this as	12:50:28
20	Exhibit 2091.	12:50:31
21	A It it's okay. I don't I won't	12:50:36
22	change it, just to keep it sorry.	12:50:37
23	Q Are you sure?	12:50:39
24	A Yeah. Yeah.	12:50:40
25	Q Okay.	12:50:41
		Page 86

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 6 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	A Sorry about that. So my understanding is	12:50:41
2	that because of the nature of the development of the	12:50:48
3	program as a whole, right, what it was is a kind	12:50:54
4	of a calculation of historical spend through the end	12:50:57
5	of 2015.	12:51:00
6	So if on the screen you can see that	12:51:02
7	essentially I'm I'm highlighting all the cells in	12:51:04
8	Row 16, Columns B through H.	12:51:08
9	And in the bottom corner, Excel does a	12:51:12
10	sum. So what that sum is saying is that this is	12:51:15
11	approximately	12:51:17
12	Q For the record, the exact sum that is	12:51:40
13	shown in Exhibit 1400 when you highlight Cells B16	12:51:47
14	to H16, is	12:51:52
15	A Do you want me to read it out?	12:52:00
16	Q you can read it out. Or I was going	12:52:02
17	to, but you can.	12:52:04
18	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Okay.	12:52:05
19	Q It is (is that right?	12:52:07
20	A Yes, that's what the screen shows.	12:52:20
21	Q And that's the number that is the basis	12:52:23
22	for the range that's shown as the	12:52:26
23	development cost for Trade Secret 2?	12:52:33
24	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:52:37
25	A That's that's my understanding of how	12:52:37
		Page 87

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 7 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	it was formulated.	12:52:39
2	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you know what Trade	12:52:41
3	Secret 2 is?	12:52:42
4	A Like like, in technical detail, no.	12:52:46
5	Q In any level of detail?	12:52:50
6	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:52:52
7	A I I know that it's at issue in the	12:52:57
8	case, but I don't like, I don't know the	12:53:00
9	technical details of it, no.	12:53:02
10	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you know anything about	12:53:03
11	Trade Secret 2?	12:53:04
12	A In I I mean, I didn't ask like,	12:53:07
13	no, I didn't ask detailed questions about Trade	12:53:10
14	Secret 2.	12:53:13
15	Q What do you know about Trade Secret 2,	12:53:14
16	other than that it's \$to develop?	12:53:15
17	A That to the extent it is take how do	12:53:23
18	I say this?	12:53:29
19	That its development was not limited to,	12:53:30
20	a like, a discrete point in time. And it	12:53:33
21	it the formulation of it and the basis for	12:53:36
22	thereby the basis of the calculation is that it is	12:53:37
23	the kind of from inception to the date of the	12:53:43
24	cutoff of whatever informs that cutoff date is	12:53:47
25	how it it's informed, right.	12:53:52
		Page 88

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 8 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	So there's a development time. It's not	12:53:52
2	like a discrete thing with, like, a discrete item.	12:53:54
3	It is a totality. And that's why, you know	12:53:57
4	Q Other than the number, what do you know	12:54:03
5	about the technology that's captured by Trade	12:54:08
6	Secret 2?	12:54:11
7	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:54:12
8	A So what I know about technology is that it	12:54:21
9	was developed at Waymo. That would be and it was	12:54:23
10	technology that was developed in the program as a	12:54:32
11	whole.	12:54:36
12	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you know what aspect of	12:54:36
13	the technology that was developed by Waymo that	12:54:38
14	Trade Secret 2 relates to?	12:54:42
15	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:54:44
16	A Well, I think based off of my	12:54:45
17	understanding of how it was calculated, aspect	12:54:47
18	aspect is a little I guess I would take issue	12:54:51
19	with the word "aspect." Is that it it's a	12:54:55
20	it's a trade secret that is kind of comprehensive in	12:54:58
21	the program as a whole.	12:55:01
22	Like but again, that's just a like,	12:55:01
23	a nontechnical understanding of, like, how this	12:55:03
24	number was calculated. And I'm kind of deriving it	12:55:06
25	based off of that calculation.	12:55:09
		Page 89

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 9 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q (BY MS. CHANG) If you turn to page 172 of	12:55:11
2	Exhibit 1520.	12:55:14
3	A I'm sorry. What page again?	12:55:16
4	Q 172.	12:55:19
5	A Okay.	12:55:20
6	Q This is Waymo's Response to	12:55:36
7	Interrogatory No. 6 with respect to Trade Secret 25.	12:55:39
8	If you look in the middle of the first paragraph,	12:55:43
9	under that header it reads, Information potentially	12:55:48
10	relevant to determining such cost estimates includes	12:55:52
11	information that has been produced at	12:55:55
12	WAYMO-UBER-00027045, WAYMO-UBER-00014506	12:55:58
13	WAYMO-UBER-00012830, WAYMO-UBER-00014078, and	12:56:06
14	WAYMO-UBER-00014489.	12:56:15
15	I can represent to you that those are the	12:56:20
16	same five documents that were cited for Trade	12:56:23
17	Secret 2 that we just looked at.	12:56:26
18	The next sentence reads, That information	12:56:30
19	reflects that costs Waymo necessarily incurred for	12:56:34
20	the development of Trade Secret 25 are in the	12:56:39
21		12:56:43
22	What is the basis of the	12:57:02
23	range number cited for Trade Secret 25 in Waymo's	12:57:05
24	response to Interrogatory No. 6 for Trade Secret 25?	12:57:09
25	A So I again, I think my understanding of	12:57:16
		Page 90

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 10 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	how the expert who created that value is that they	12:57:22
2	took the numbers of spend historically since	12:57:26
3	inception through a certain date. And like, same as	12:57:28
4	the previous one is that it goes through on	12:57:32
5	whichever exhibit number we labeled the screen.	12:57:35
6	Q 1400.	12:57:41
7	A Sorry. Yeah, 1400 is, again, from a	12:57:42
8	2009 through end of year 2015, which represents that	12:57:44
9	approximately	12:57:48
10	Q It's the same calculation that was used	12:57:57
11	for Trade Secret 2 that we previously discussed?	12:58:00
12	A So so when you say "the same	12:58:05
13	calculation," I like, again, the to the	12:58:07
14	extent, like, an expert created that calculation,	12:58:11
15	I I can't tell you how precisely they calculate	12:58:13
16	it, right.	12:58:16
17	But my understanding is that it is a	12:58:17
18	culmination of all of the work that precedes took	12:58:19
19	took place preceding. And therefore, perhaps in	12:58:23
20	broad strokes it's a similar calculation. I don't	12:58:28
21	want to speak out of turn and say it's, like, the	12:58:30
22	same calculation.	12:58:33
23	Q I just want to make sure that I'm	12:58:34
24	understanding your testimony. You testified that	12:58:36
25	Trade Secret 2, which cited a \$ was	12:58:38
		Page 91

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 11 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	the sum of Cells B16 to H16 of Exhibit 1400, and	12:58:43
2	that was for Trade Secret 2?	12:58:52
3	A Yeah, the the last yes, correct.	12:58:54
4	Q And now we're looking at Trade Secret 25,	12:58:56
5	which also cites a cost?	12:58:59
6	A Um-hum.	12:59:03
7	Q The calculation for that	12:59:03
8	number, is it also the sum of Cells B16 to H16 of	12:59:06
9	Exhibit 1400?	12:59:12
10	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	12:59:14
11	A Yes, those same numbers inform that	12:59:19
12	number.	12:59:22
13	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Why is it that only the	12:59:23
14	costs incurred in 2009 through 2015 are used to	12:59:28
15	calculate the cost estimate for Trade	12:59:34
16	Secrets 2 and 25?	12:59:41
17	A Sorry. Why is why only those years as	12:59:46
18	opposed to what other years, I guess?	12:59:48
19	Q Why wasn't 2016 included?	12:59:52
20	A Well, my understanding based off of,	12:59:56
21	again, like, the trade secret being a technical	12:59:58
22	thing is that this says it took a period of time.	01:00:00
23	And that secret again, not being	01:00:03
24	technically minded about what a trade secret is	01:00:07
25	legally defined as or anything else, it's it's	01:00:10
		Page 92

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 12 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1 formulation took that span of time; and therefore,			
therefore. 01:00:22 Q Your testimony is that the development of 01:00:23 Trade Secret 25 incurred cost only up through 2015? 01:00:27 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:00:34 A My my testimony is that that is my 01:00:37 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 Secret 2? 01:00:45 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:33 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:34 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	1	formulation took that span of time; and therefore,	01:00:14
Trade Secret 25 incurred cost only up through 2015? 01:00:27 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:00:34 A My my testimony is that that is my 01:00:37 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 Secret 2? 01:00:45 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:37 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	2	they're capturing that period of time's expense,	01:00:16
Trade Secret 25 incurred cost only up through 2015? MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:00:34 A My my testimony is that that is my 01:00:37 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 Secret 2? 01:00:45 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:33 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	3	therefore.	01:00:22
MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:00:34 A My my testimony is that that is my 01:00:37 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 Secret 2? 01:00:45 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:33 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	4	Q Your testimony is that the development of	01:00:23
A My my testimony is that that is my 01:00:37 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 Secret 2? 01:00:45 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	5	Trade Secret 25 incurred cost only up through 2015?	01:00:27
8 understanding of how it was calculated, yes. 01:00:39 9 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 10 Secret 2? 01:00:45 11 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 12 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 13 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 15 provided. 01:01:02 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:35 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	6	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:00:34
9 Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade 01:00:41 10 Secret 2? 01:00:45 11 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 12 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 13 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 15 provided. 01:01:02 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:30 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	7	A My my testimony is that that is my	01:00:37
10 Secret 2? 01:00:45 11 A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 12 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 13 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 15 provided. 01:01:02 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	8	understanding of how it was calculated, yes.	01:00:39
A Again, like, my under my understanding 01:00:48 is, like, that's the method the expert used to 01:00:50 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	9	Q (BY MS. CHANG) The same answer for Trade	01:00:41
is, like, that's the method the expert used to 13 surmise the the data the costs incurred based 14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 15 provided. 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 20 technological elements that it informs. My 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 26 01:00:50 27 01:00:55 01:01:02 01:01:02 01:01:23 01:01:33 01:01:34	10	Secret 2?	01:00:45
surmise the the data the costs incurred based 01:00:55 14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 15 provided. 01:01:02 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	11	A Again, like, my under my understanding	01:00:48
14 upon historical spend that we that I that we 01:00:58 15 provided. 01:01:02 16 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 17 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	12	is, like, that's the method the expert used to	01:00:50
provided. 01:01:02 Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	13	surmise the the data the costs incurred based	01:00:55
Q Do you know what the technology, that 01:01:08 Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? 01:01:11 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	14	upon historical spend that we that I that we	01:00:58
Trade Secret 25 relates to, is? MR. MACK: Objection, form. O1:01:16 A I don't know the specific technology or technological elements that it informs. My understanding is it's it's technology that is was developed within Waymo Chauffeur Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that Q You don't know any more details regarding O1:01:35	15	provided.	01:01:02
MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 21 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	16	Q Do you know what the technology, that	01:01:08
A I don't know the specific technology or 01:01:20 technological elements that it informs. My 01:01:23 understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	17	Trade Secret 25 relates to, is?	01:01:11
technological elements that it informs. My 11 understanding is it's it's technology that is 22 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 23 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 24 A but that would be the extent of it. 25 Q You don't know any more details regarding 26 01:01:23 27 01:01:23 28 01:01:33	18	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:01:16
understanding is it's it's technology that is 01:01:27 was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	19	A I don't know the specific technology or	01:01:20
was developed within Waymo Chauffeur 01:01:30 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	20	technological elements that it informs. My	01:01:23
Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that 01:01:33 A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	21	understanding is it's it's technology that is	01:01:27
A but that would be the extent of it. 01:01:34 Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	22	was developed within Waymo Chauffeur	01:01:30
Q You don't know any more details regarding 01:01:35	23	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is that	01:01:33
	24	A but that would be the extent of it.	01:01:34
Page 93	25	Q You don't know any more details regarding	01:01:35
			Page 93

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 13 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Trade Secret 25?	01:01:38
2	A No, I don't have any more details.	01:01:40
3	Q You testified that Trade Secret 2 incurred	01:01:44
4	a cost for development. You also	01:01:47
5	testified that Trade Secret 25 incurred the same	01:01:52
6	cost for development.	01:01:57
7	Is there any chance that costs of	01:02:20
8	development is being double counted between Trade	01:02:23
9	Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25?	01:02:26
10	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:02:29
11	A So one thing in in answering your	01:02:30
12	question, I think you said that my testimony was	01:02:33
13	that it costs to formulate those two	01:02:36
14	trade secrets.	01:02:40
15	So I just want to say first that, like,	01:02:40
16	that's that's not my testimony. What what I'm	01:02:41
17	saying is that insofar is that an expert calculated	01:02:43
18	this, it's I'm showing the my testimony is	01:02:46
19	that in the years 2009 through 2015, those were the	01:02:49
20	expenses incurred.	01:02:54
21	With respect to your the the core of	01:02:56
22	the question or, like, the latter part of what you	01:02:59
23	just said of: Is there a double count, I don't	01:03:01
24	think I am technically minded enough to say whether	01:03:05
25	that is true or not.	01:03:10
		Page 94

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 14 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you understand that you	01:03:11
2	were designated corporate witness, so you're	01:03:13
3	supposed to do testify on behalf of the company	01:03:16
4	regarding the cost of each of the alleged trade	01:03:19
5	secrets? Are you not prepared to testify as to that	01:03:21
6	today?	01:03:25
7	A So so I'm I'm prepared to testify.	01:03:26
8	And and I have been trying to testify about the	01:03:29
9	numbers and the costs incurred by this program.	01:03:32
10	I am not technically minded to say that a	01:03:37
11	trade secret involved this cost versus that cost. I	01:03:39
12	think that's a more expert-based formulation.	01:03:44
13	And I'm not prepared or capable of of	01:03:49
14	creating like, I'm not an expert, right. Like,	01:03:52
15	my understanding is you you have expert reports	01:03:54
16	on these kinds of things, and there will be a whole,	01:03:56
17	you know, rigmarole around that.	01:03:58
18	But I am prepared to tell you about what	01:04:01
19	are the costs and what we have been discussing about	01:04:01
20	what the expenses that we're showing in these	01:04:04
21	various years.	01:04:07
22	Q If I understand your testimony correctly,	01:04:08
23	you're prepared to testify about the costs incurred	01:04:10
24	by Waymo's self-driving car program, but you are not	01:04:12
25	prepared to testify about the costs of each	01:04:19
		Page 95
		

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 15 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	individual trade secret; is that right?	01:04:22
2	MR. MACK: Objection to form.	01:04:23
3	A So again, insofar as that in this	01:04:26
4	interrogatory response there is a response that says	01:04:30
5	the trade secret costs I can tell you	01:04:31
6	what numbers inform that.	01:04:36
7	So so yes, there's an there's an	01:04:37
8	expert conclusion about this, and I can help show	01:04:39
9	you like, to the two preceding questions you	01:04:42
10	asked, I showed you how that	01:04:45
11	informed. So that extent, I am providing my	01:04:48
12	testimony and the the basis for that	01:04:51
13	calculation.	01:04:54
14	Q (BY MS. CHANG) You know how the number was	01:04:54
15	calculated, but you're not offering any testimony as	01:04:56
16	to how that number relates to each of the trade	01:04:59
17	secrets?	01:05:02
18	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:05:02
19	A I don't I don't understand what you	01:05:05
20	mean by "how it relates to each of the trade	01:05:06
21	secrets."	01:05:09
22	Q (BY MS. CHANG) You're not providing any	01:05:09
23	testimony that for example, where it says, Trade	01:05:11
24	Secret 2 costs , you're not offering	01:05:14
25	that Trade Secret 2 actually costs	01:05:17
		Page 96

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 16 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	you're just offering testimony about how	01:05:19
2	was calculated?	01:05:22
3	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:05:26
4	A Sorry. Sorry. Like, let me let me try	01:05:30
5	to can can you repeat the question? It's kind	01:05:34
6	of long, so I I don't want to get lost in it.	01:05:37
7	MS. CHANG: Could you read the question	01:05:40
8	back to the witness?	01:05:41
9	(The Reporter read the record as follows:	01:05:43
10	QUESTION: You're not providing any testimony	01:05:43
11	that for example, where it says, Trade Secret 2	01:05:43
12	costs , you're not offering that Trade	01:05:43
13	Secret 2 actually costs ; you're just	01:05:43
14	offering testimony about how was	01:05:43
15	calculated?)	01:05:43
16	MR. MACK: Same objection.	01:06:15
17	A So my understanding is that that these	01:06:17
18	trade secrets are a development over time; and	01:06:21
19	therefore, that it takes all of the preceding	01:06:27
20	work.	01:06:30
21	And to the extent that trade secret was	01:06:30
22	formulated from inception of the program through the	01:06:33
23	time period that we marked on that at the end of the	01:06:37
24	year, which it's it's now blacked out, but I	01:06:40
25	think it was 2015 or 2016, that is the cost of the	01:06:42
		Page 97
1		

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 17 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	trade secret.	01:06:46
2	Q (BY MS. CHANG) You are testifying that	01:06:48
3	it is your testimony under oath that Trade Secret 2	01:06:49
4	costs	01:06:54
5	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:06:57
6	A Again, to to the extent the expert	01:06:58
7	formulated that and and the basis is that all of	01:07:01
8	the work took took it's all of the preceding	01:07:03
9	work up until that time and that our the	01:07:06
10	the program's historical spend is that, then then	01:07:09
11	yes, consistent with that,	01:07:12
12	number.	01:07:16
13	Q (BY MS. CHANG) But you don't know what	01:07:16
14	Trade Secret 2 actually is?	01:07:17
15	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:07:19
16	A The the discrete technical elements of	01:07:21
17	it, no.	01:07:23
18	Q (BY MS. CHANG) And you don't know what	01:07:25
19	Trade Secret 25 is?	01:07:27
20	MR. MACK: Same objection.	01:07:28
21	A Again, the the discrete technical	01:07:29
22	elements of it, no. I like, to the extent it was	01:07:32
23	formulated over time and it is it is a product of	01:07:34
24	work since the inception of a program, that's	01:07:37
25	that's what I understand.	01:07:39
		Page 98

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 18 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you know if there was	01:07:46
2	any chance of double counting between the technology	01:07:47
3	that is claimed in Trade Secret 2 and the technology	01:07:50
4	that is claimed in Trade Secret 25?	01:07:54
5	MR. MACK: Objection to form.	01:07:57
6	A I like, what what would you consider	01:08:01
7	double counting?	01:08:06
8	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Claiming the claim cost	01:08:13
9	twice.	01:08:15
10	A Between between so so saying the	01:08:16
11	costs of developing one trade secret versus the	01:08:19
12	other are duplicative?	01:08:21
13	Q Yes.	01:08:26
14	A Without without understanding the	01:08:27
15	technical elements of of the trade secrets,	01:08:29
16	which which I admittedly don't understand the	01:08:30
17	technical elements, I I don't know that I can	01:08:34
18	answer that.	01:08:35
19	Q How are you able to testify that Trade	01:08:36
20	Secret 25 costs if you don't understand	01:08:38
21	the technical details that are claimed by Trade	01:08:42
22	Secret 25?	01:08:45
23	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:08:46
24	A Again, because my understanding is	01:08:49
25	is that the trade secret was formulated since the	01:08:50
		Page 99

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 19 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	inception of the program. And it is the totality of	01:08:55
2	the technology as whole that that allows the	01:08:57
3	system to work. And therefore, all of the expenses	01:09:01
4	that come through that year that we ended on is why	01:09:03
5	that number is right.	01:09:06
6	So it's it's the the fact that a	01:09:07
7	given trade secret is a part of this entire	01:09:12
8	solution; and therefore, we took the expense of the	01:09:15
9	entire program and took them over a period of time	01:09:17
10	to what is determined as the date where it's, like,	01:09:19
11	formulated or not like, I don't know how to	01:09:23
12	describe it in whatever might be the applicable	01:09:25
13	term.	01:09:28
14	But that period of time you then take that	01:09:28
15	whole expense of the whole exclusion and say you	01:09:30
16	have now it's come to fruition, so to speak or	01:09:33
17	whatever, and that's how you will come up with the	01:09:34
18		01:09:37
19	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Your testimony is that	01:09:38
20	Trade Secret 2 costs to develop; is	01:09:40
21	that right?	01:09:44
22	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:09:44
23	A My testimony is that to the extent that	01:09:47
24	the the expert has surmised that this is, like, a	01:09:49
25	full-on, like, evolution and it all of the steps	01:09:53
		Page 100

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 20 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	preceding takes time to create the solution. You	01:09:59
2	take all of that spend, and it's	01:10:03
3	spend that occurred during that time. And	01:10:05
4	therefore, that's the way you come up to the	01:10:06
5	range identified in the interrogatory.	01:10:09
6	Q (BY MS. CHANG) It's your testimony that	01:10:12
7	Trade Secret 25 costs (to develop; is	01:10:14
8	that right?	01:10:17
9	MR. MACK: Same objection.	01:10:18
10	A I I would answer that in the same way I	01:10:19
11	did the preceding question.	01:10:22
12	Q (BY MS. CHANG) What is your answer to the	01:10:23
13	question: What was the cost of developing Trade	01:10:24
14	Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25?	01:10:27
15	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:10:32
16	A So it to to what was the cost of	01:10:33
17	developing the trade secret?	01:10:38
18	Q (BY MS. CHANG) To clarify, the question	01:10:41
19	is: What was the cost of developing Trade Secret 2	01:10:42
20	and Trade Secret 25? So I want to know the combined	01:10:46
21	cost of developing those two trade secrets.	01:10:51
22	A I I don't know that I could answer	01:10:54
23	that, because I don't have, again, the technical	01:10:55
24	element of what the trade secret is.	01:10:57
25	I think that's that's something that I	01:10:58
		Page 101

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 21 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	don't know. But to the extent that like, if an	01:11:02
2	expert were to help like, tell me how you capture	01:11:05
3	those things, and we said: Well, is there, like,	01:11:09
4	this time element, for example, or something of that	01:11:12
5	sort, then I could look at that amount of time or	01:11:15
6	however and then come up with a number. Kind of	01:11:17
7	like what I have been describing in the preceding	01:11:19
8	answers.	01:11:22
9	Q You testified that Trade Secret 2 used the	01:11:22
10	same calculation as Trade Secret 25?	01:11:24
11	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:11:30
12	A Like so I I don't think I used the	01:11:31
13	word "calculation." But again, I think that we said	01:11:34
14	that in a similar manner, like, you took the whole	01:11:37
15	program's spend from inception to the time where	01:11:41
16	that trade secret was is determined to have,	01:11:44
17	like, come to fruition or whatever.	01:11:45
18	And you take all of that spend, because	01:11:48
19	these trade secrets are part of an integrated	01:11:51
20	whole like, it's a whole solution, right. And	01:11:54
21	that's my understanding of how that determination	01:11:56
22	was made.	01:11:57
23	Q (BY MS. CHANG) But isn't the calculation	01:11:59
24	of Trade Secret 2 based on that analysis, the same	01:12:02
25	way that you're calculating the cost for Trade	01:12:06
		Page 102

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 22 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Secret 25?	01:12:08
2	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:12:11
3	Q (BY MS. CHANG) You seem to be implying	01:12:12
4	that the calculation is not the same, so I'm just	01:12:13
5	trying to figure out exactly what your testimony is	01:12:16
6	with respect to the calculations.	01:12:20
7	A Sure. And and again, like like I	01:12:21
8	said earlier I think a bit earlier is that	01:12:24
9	like, the precise calculation of what informs and	01:12:25
10	costs of the trade secret, I think it requires	01:12:29
11	certain technical elements.	01:12:31
12	But to the extent that it was a	01:12:32
13	time-based, like, evolution of the entire	01:12:35
14	technological solution, to the extent that is what I	01:12:39
15	understand of it, then perhaps it then in that	01:12:42
16	vein, yes. But again, I'm not the the the	01:12:47
17	expert who calculated that number, per se.	01:12:49
18	Q Okay. Stepping back, you keep on	01:12:53
19	mentioning an "expert." Is there a particular	01:12:54
20	expert you're referring to?	01:12:56
21	A I'm assuming who whichever expert	01:12:58
22	that it says "will be the subject of expert	01:13:01
23	testimony" here in the interrogatory.	01:13:05
24	Q That's true. I believe Waymo's experts	01:13:07
25	will be using this interrogatory response. But	01:13:10
		Page 103

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 23 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	these are interrogatory responses from Waymo	01:13:13
2	A Um-hum.	01:13:17
3	Q so an expert did not draft I can	01:13:17
4	represent to you that an expert did not draft these	01:13:21
5	responses.	01:13:25
6	A Okay.	01:13:25
7	Q Waymo your counsel can correct me if	01:13:25
8	I'm wrong. I just wanted to know whether you had a	01:13:27
9	particular expert in mind when you keep on	01:13:32
10	mentioning this expert.	01:13:34
11	A I I don't have a name in mind, no.	01:13:36
12	Q Moving on to Trade Secret 90, which is	01:13:48
13	another one of the trade secrets that are still at	01:13:51
14	issue in this case, if you could turn to page 126 of	01:13:54
15	Exhibit 1520.	01:13:58
16	The response to Interrogatory No. 6 for	01:14:08
17	Trade Secret 90 starts on exhibit starts on page 126	01:14:20
18	and continues on to page 127.	01:14:23
19	If you look at the middle of the first	01:14:27
20	paragraph to the response regarding Trade Secret 90,	01:14:30
21	it states, Information potentially relevant to	01:14:37
22	determining such cost estimate has been produced at	01:14:42
23	WAYMO-UBER-00027045, WAYMO-UBER-00014506	01:14:46
24	WAYMO-UBER-00012830, WAYMO-UBER-00014078, and	01:14:57
25	WAYMO-UBER-00014489.	01:15:06
		Page 104

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 24 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	I can represent to you that those are the	01:15:09
2	same five documents that were cited for Trade	01:15:12
3	Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25 that we just looked at.	01:15:15
4	The next sentence reads, That information	01:15:18
5	reflects that costs Waymo necessarily incurred for	01:15:23
6	the development of Trade Secret 90 are in the	01:15:27
7	range.	01:15:31
8	What was the basis for calculating that	01:15:33
9	cost estimate?	01:15:36
10	A So again, my understanding is that it is a	01:15:41
11	cost that captures the entire program spend from	01:15:43
12	inception to the period of time where it stops I	01:15:47
13	think it's 2015, 2016, that that you round up	01:15:54
14	to	01:15:59
15	It is informed by those same sets of	01:16:00
16	numbers for the totality of the program spend for	01:16:04
17	that period of time.	01:16:05
18	Q The calculation that was the basis of the	01:16:06
19	cost estimate for Trade Secret 90 is	01:16:09
20	the same calculation that was done for Trade	01:16:13
21	Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25?	01:16:16
22	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:16:18
23	A Again, my understanding being that it	01:16:23
24	covers the the time period for the formulation of	01:16:24
25	that trade secret, without knowing the technical	01:16:27
		Page 105

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 25 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	elements of it, is is a time period that spans	01:16:29
2	the program's total spend of	01:16:32
3	Q (BY MS. CHANG) And that calculation is the	01:16:36
4	sum of Cells B16 to H16 in Exhibit 1400?	01:16:40
5	A I'll I'll accept that. I don't see it	01:16:50
6	on the screen, but I believe so. It's it's the	01:16:52
7	cells that I had highlighted earlier.	01:16:53
8	Q And that's the same	01:16:58
9	A Correct.	01:17:02
10	Q number that we have been	01:17:02
11	looking at?	01:17:05
12	A Correct.	01:17:05
13	Q Do you know what the technical aspects	01:17:10
14	that is claimed by Trade Secret 90?	01:17:20
15	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:17:24
16	A I'm not familiar with the the precise	01:17:25
17	individual technical aspects of or sorry. Let me	01:17:29
18	rephrase.	01:17:31
19	I'm not I'm not familiar with the	01:17:31
20	the technical aspects of the individual trade	01:17:33
21	secret. My understanding is that insofar as it is	01:17:38
22	part of the entirety of this self-driving system.	01:17:42
23	Therefore, all of the costs of the program since	01:17:45
24	inception to the time are what then informs that	01:17:48
25	number.	01:17:52
		Page 106

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 26 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q (BY MS. CHANG) If I understand your	01:17:54
2	testimony correctly, the costs of the program from	01:17:56
3	inception to 2015 forms the basis for the	01:18:05
4	development cost for Trade Secret 2, Trade	01:18:12
5	Secret 25, and Trade Secret 90; is that correct?	01:18:15
6	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:18:20
7	A Can I get the question read back?	01:18:24
8	Q (BY MS. CHANG) If I understand your	01:18:28
9	testimony correctly, the costs of the self-driving	01:18:29
10	car program from inception to 2015 forms the basis	01:18:32
11	for the development cost for Trade Secret 2, Trade	01:18:36
12	Secret 25, and and now Trade Secret 90?	01:18:39
13	MR. MACK: Same objection.	01:18:45
14	A I I believe so, yes, if I understand	01:18:48
15	your question.	01:18:52
16	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is there a part of the	01:18:54
17	question that you don't understand?	01:18:55
18	A So sorry. Can can you read it back	01:18:57
19	one more time? I apologize.	01:19:01
20	Q Your testimony is that the costs of the	01:19:03
21	self-driving car program from inception to 2015	01:19:08
22	forms the basis for the development costs of Trade	01:19:12
23	Secret 2, Trade Secret 25, and now Trade Secret 90?	01:19:16
24	A My understanding is that that since	01:19:23
25	inception through the year that you just identified	01:19:25
		Page 107

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 27 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	informs the number that was placed into	01:19:27
2	this interrogatory.	01:19:31
3	Q For each of those three trade secrets that	01:19:32
4	we have discussed so far?	01:19:34
5	A Correct. That period of spend is what	01:19:36
6	informed that number. And if I may add. The one	01:19:38
7	thing, like and we had reviewed this a little bit	01:19:41
8	earlier in the deposition. Is that to the extent	01:19:43
9	that there are historical expenses not captured,	01:19:45
10	that number is potentially higher.	01:19:47
11	But because of the way the Alphabet	01:19:50
12	structure was run historically, et cetera,	01:19:52
13	et cetera, that number is is reported lower in	01:19:54
14	the Exhibit 1400, I think, is the one that that's	01:20:00
15	the spreadsheet so	01:20:04
16	Q To clarify, you're saying that the	01:20:12
17	number the number that's shown in	01:20:14
18	Exhibit 1400 is actually lower than the actual spend	01:20:20
19	of the program from inception to 2015?	01:20:23
20	A Correct. Correct. As I mentioned	01:20:25
21	earlier, there are there are equity that's	01:20:26
22	missing from this, as well as, like, the	01:20:28
23	intracompany expenses of the allocated expensive.	01:20:30
24	Because, again, alphabetization, which is that	01:20:33
25	spinout, was something that happened later.	01:20:36
		Page 108

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 28 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

O What is the cost of developing Trade 01:20:40 Secret 2, Trade Secret 25, and Trade Secret 90? 01:20:42 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:20:46 A So to the extent that the expert has 01:20:48 summised that development of that trade secret is 01:20:55 something that is kind of program inception to the 01:20:58 date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or 01:21:02 whatever you want to call it, it it is that 01:21:04 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 01:21:08 program from that inception to the date that that's 01:21:11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 01:21:14 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 O (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:21 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08 Page 109			
MR. MACK: Objection, form. 4 A So to the extent that the expert has 5 surmised that development of that trade secret is 6 something that is kind of program inception to the 7 date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or 8 whatever you want to call it, it it is that 9 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 10 program from that inception to the date that that's 11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 12 spreadsheet. 13 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 14 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 15	1	Q What is the cost of developing Trade	01:20:40
A So to the extent that the expert has 01:20:48 surmised that development of that trade secret is 01:20:55 something that is kind of program inception to the 01:20:58 date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or 01:21:02 whatever you want to call it, it it is that 01:21:04 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 10 program from that inception to the date that that's 11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 12 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 The program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:22 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost of developing Trade Secret 2, 25, and 90 together? MR. MACK: Objection, form. A You're asking: Is is the cost of developing is the cost of developing one, the O (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we O (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we O (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we O (1:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? O (1:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my O 1:22:08	2	Secret 2, Trade Secret 25, and Trade Secret 90?	01:20:42
surmised that development of that trade secret is something that is kind of program inception to the date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or by whatever you want to call it, it it is that 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the program from that inception to the date that that's 1 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the spreadsheet. 0 (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 1 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 0 1:21:21 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 0 1:21:22 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? MR. MACK: Objection, form. A You're asking: Is is the cost of developing is the cost of developing one, the O (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we O (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we Just discussed. A I like, I don't know that I understand? O (1:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my O 1:22:08	3	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:20:46
something that is kind of program inception to the 01:20:58 date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or 01:21:02 whatever you want to call it, it it is that 01:21:04 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 01:21:08 program from that inception to the date that that's 01:21:11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 01:21:14 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 fof developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:35 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	4	A So to the extent that the expert has	01:20:48
date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or 01:21:02 whatever you want to call it, it it is that 01:21:04 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 01:21:08 program from that inception to the date that that's 01:21:11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 01:21:14 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 make an inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 make an inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 for developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	5	surmised that development of that trade secret is	01:20:55
whatever you want to call it, it it is that 1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 10 program from that inception to the date that that's 11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 12 spreadsheet. 13 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 14 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 15 (1:21:21) 16 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 17 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 10:21:40 20 (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 21 same as the cost of developing all of them? 22 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 23 just discussed. 24 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 26 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 27 01:22:08	6	something that is kind of program inception to the	01:20:58
1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the 10 program from that inception to the date that that's 11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 12:1:14 12 spreadsheet. 13 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 14 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 15 N. would it be fair to say that the costs 16 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 17 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 18 odeveloping is the cost of developing one, the 19 case as the cost of developing all of them? 20 developing is the cost of developing one, the 21 same as the cost of developing all of them? 22 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 23 just discussed. 24 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 25 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 26 ol:22:08	7	date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or	01:21:02
program from that inception to the date that that's 01:21:11 cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 01:21:14 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 , would it be fair to say that the costs 01:21:28 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	8	whatever you want to call it, it it is that	01:21:04
cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the 01:21:14 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 , would it be fair to say that the costs 01:21:28 of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	9	1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the	01:21:08
12 spreadsheet. 01:21:19 13 Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 14 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21 15	10	program from that inception to the date that that's	01:21:11
Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of 01:21:19 the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21	11	cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the	01:21:14
the program cost from inception to 2015 is about 01:21:21	12	spreadsheet.	01:21:19
of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	13	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of	01:21:19
of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost 01:21:31 of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 01:21:40 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	14	the program cost from inception to 2015 is about	01:21:21
of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together? 01:21:35 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 20 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 21 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 23 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	15	, would it be fair to say that the costs	01:21:28
MR. MACK: Objection, form. 18 MR. MACK: Objection, form. 19 A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 20 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 21 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 22 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 23 just discussed. 01:21:54 24 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 25 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	16	of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost	01:21:31
A You're asking: Is is the cost of 01:21:43 developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	17	of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together?	01:21:35
developing is the cost of developing one, the 01:21:45 21 same as the cost of developing all of them? 01:21:49 22 Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 23 just discussed. 01:21:54 24 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 25 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	18	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:21:40
same as the cost of developing all of them? Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 1 just discussed. A I like, I don't know that I understand? Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:21:49 01:21:53 01:21:54 1 Just discussed. 01:21:56 1 Just discussed. 01:21:56	19	A You're asking: Is is the cost of	01:21:43
Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we 01:21:53 just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	20	developing is the cost of developing one, the	01:21:45
just discussed. 01:21:54 A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	21	same as the cost of developing all of them?	01:21:49
A I like, I don't know that I understand? 01:21:56 Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	22	Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we	01:21:53
Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my 01:22:08	23	just discussed.	01:21:54
	24	A I like, I don't know that I understand?	01:21:56
Page 109	25	Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my	01:22:08
			Page 109

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 29 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	answer would be that that they're all	01:22:20
2	wholly-integrated solutions in the system. And	01:22:24
3	like, this is (inaudible), like, a self-driving	01:22:26
4	system, so	01:22:26
5	THE COURT REPORTER: What is that? This	01:22:34
6	is?	01:22:34
7	A Sorry?	01:22:35
8	THE COURT REPORTER: They're	01:22:35
9	wholly-integrated solutions in the system. And	01:22:35
10	like?	01:22:35
11	A They're all wholly-integrated solutions in	01:22:35
12	the same system is what I meant to say. That	01:22:38
13	sorry. I lost my train of thought.	01:22:48
14	Q (BY MS. CHANG) You're the corporate	01:22:52
15	A Yeah.	01:22:55
16	Q representative on the cost of each of	01:22:55
17	the trade secrets?	01:22:56
18	A Right.	01:22:58
19	Q And I'm just trying to figure out how this	01:22:58
20	cost calculation works. You're testifying that	01:23:01
21	Trade Secret 2 costs , which is the	01:23:05
22	entire program cost from inception to 2015.	01:23:09
23	You're testifying that Trade Secret 25	01:23:13
24	costs which is also the cost of the	01:23:16
25	entire program from inception to 2015.	01:23:23
		Page 110

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 30 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	And you're also claiming that Trade	01:23:25
2	Secret 90 costs , which is the cost of	01:23:27
3	the entire program from inception to 2015.	01:23:34
4	Because you're claiming the entire program	01:23:39
5	cost for each of these trade secrets, I want to	01:23:42
6	understand that if it's your testimony that the cost	01:23:47
7	of developing all three trade secrets is also the	01:23:50
8	entire cost of the program from inception to 2015.	01:23:53
9	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	01:23:58
10	scope.	01:23:59
11	A I I don't know that I know how to	01:24:01
12	answer that. Because, again, like, given they all	01:24:04
13	have an interplay and and are all required, I	01:24:07
14	I don't think I I I have the technical	01:24:11
15	know-how to to to answer, like, if you	01:24:13
16	developed one wholly independently versus all of the	01:24:16
17	others, what would the costs be.	01:24:19
18	Q (BY MS. CHANG) That's not my question.	01:24:23
19	A I'm sorry. All right. I'm just having a	01:24:26
20	hard understanding	01:24:28
21	Q Yeah.	01:24:29
22	A to be honest.	01:24:29
23	Q Well so maybe I think there are two	01:24:30
24	potential answers to this question, and there could	01:24:36
25	also be an alternate answer. One potential answer	01:24:38
		Page 111

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 31 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	is because I'm already claiming the entire cost of	01:24:44
2	the program for for one of the trade secrets,	01:24:48
3	there's not more that I could claim for developing	01:24:50
4	all three.	01:24:53
5	Or you could say because I'm claiming	01:24:53
6	for one trade secret,	01:24:58
7	another trade secret, and	01:25:02
8	trade secret, that the cost of developing all three	01:25:07
9	of those would be , even thought that	01:25:09
10	exceeds the costs of the entire program.	01:25:12
11	I just want to know what your testimony	01:25:17
12	is. I if I wanted to figure out what is the cost	01:25:18
13	of developing three of these trade secrets that are	01:25:22
14	still at issue in this case, is it just	01:25:25
15	A Can I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Like, I	01:25:28
16	want I want I want to make sure I understand.	01:25:29
17	Could I could I restate it back to you so that,	01:25:30
18	like like, you're essentially posing a	01:25:33
19	hypothetical of: If you developed only one of these	01:25:36
20	trade secrets, how much would it cost versus if you	01:25:38
21	developed all three at the same time?	01:25:41
22	Q It's not a hypothetical, because Waymo has	01:25:42
23	responded that developing one trade secret costs	01:25:45
24		01:25:48
25	A Right.	01:25:49
		Page 112

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 32 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q That's not a hypothetical. That's Waymo's	01:25:50
2	response.	01:25:52
3	A Sure.	01:25:52
4	Q And you're Waymo's corporate	01:25:53
5	representative to give that testimony.	01:25:54
6	A Right.	01:25:56
7	Q My question is: Is the cost the same for	01:25:58
8	all three trade secrets if if I is the cost	01:26:01
9	of developing Trade Secret 2 the same as the cost of	01:26:08
10	developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90?	01:26:11
11	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	01:26:14
12	scope.	01:26:15
13	A Again, to the extent they're identified as	01:26:16
14	the same number, then this is like, between the	01:26:19
15	responses for the individual trade secrets, the same	01:26:23
16	number is identified. Like, that's in in the	01:26:26
17	answer. So I	01:26:28
18	Q (BY MS. CHANG) I understand.	01:26:29
19	A don't know what else you're asking me	01:26:30
20	add to that.	01:26:33
21	Q So for each you have you have	01:26:34
22	identified a cost for each one for each trade	01:26:34
23	secret; is that correct?	01:26:37
24	A Right. They're in in the Responses	01:26:39
25	to Interrogatories, there's a cost identified for	01:26:40
		Page 113

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 33 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	each one.	01:26:42
2	Q These three trade secrets that we have	01:26:46
3	been looking at each claim the cost of the entirety	01:26:48
4	of the program?	01:26:51
5	A Correct, for a period of time.	01:26:53
6	Q That's right.	01:26:59
7	A Yeah.	01:27:00
8	Q If I ask you: What is the cost for	01:27:12
9	developing all three of these trade secrets, what is	01:27:13
10	your response?	01:27:16
11	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	01:27:17
12	scope.	01:27:19
13	A I mean, I don't I don't think I have	01:27:22
14	the, like, technical understanding to say how the	01:27:23
15	cost of developing all three are.	01:27:28
16	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Is it potentially more than	01:27:30
17		01:27:32
18	MR. MACK: Same objections.	01:27:34
19	A I I don't know that I can I know how	01:27:36
20	to answer that. Like, you're asking for a potential	01:27:37
21	cost of something, and I like, I don't know,	01:27:43
22	potentially.	01:27:45
23	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Well, you're saying you're	01:27:45
24	not sure what the answer is	01:27:48
25	A Yeah.	01:27:49
		Page 114

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 34 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q so I'm trying to figure out whether you	01:27:49
2	think the answer could be more than the entire cost	01:27:50
3	of the self-driving car program or your uncertainty	01:27:53
4	lies in the fact that it could be less.	01:27:56
5	MR. MACK: Same objections.	01:28:00
6	A So like, the the way that the	01:28:01
7	answer so the answer is is that formulation of	01:28:08
8	of this trade secret and then separately that	01:28:11
9	other trade secret, essentially each of them are	01:28:13
10	, right. They're part of this entire	01:28:15
11	technological package.	01:28:19
12	When when you're asking: Could it be	01:28:19
13	less, could it be more, like, to me it sounds like	01:28:21
14	you're you're asking: Well, what if you did a	01:28:25
15	like, a like, Waymo decided to do only one trade	01:28:27
16	secret or versus some other ones. And I just	01:28:30
17	don't know how to answer that, again, because I	01:28:32
18	don't know the very precise technical elements.	01:28:34
19	And I know that to the extent that this	01:28:36
20	response was formulated based on an inception to a	01:28:37
21	certain date, I can tell you that those entirety	01:28:40
22	of the program expenses are what are in that number,	01:28:44
23	et cetera.	01:28:47
24	If if I if I was an expert, which	01:28:47
25	I'm not, maybe I could answer about: Could the	01:28:51
		Page 115

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 35 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	expenses be less, more, or whatever in some other	01:28:54
2	scenario.	01:28:57
3	Q (BY MS. CHANG) I'm not asking you a	01:28:57
4	hypothetical. I'm asking you as Waymo's corporate	01:28:59
5	representative on the costs of developing each of	01:29:02
6	the trade secrets, is the cost of developing Trade	01:29:04
7	Secret 2 the same as the cost of developing Trade	01:29:07
8	Secrets 2, 25 and 90?	01:29:11
9	MR. MACK: Same objections.	01:29:15
10	A And again, if the interrogatories	01:29:16
11	identify them as the same number cost, yes.	01:29:17
12	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Moving on to Trade	01:29:23
13	Secret 96. Waymo's Response to Interrogatory No. 6	01:29:25
14	with respect to Trade Secret 96 is listed on	01:29:35
15	page 130.	01:29:38
16	In the middle of the first paragraph, it	01:29:39
17	reads, Information potentially relevant to	01:29:41
18	determining such cost estimates has been produced at	01:29:45
19	WAYMO-UBER-000274 excuse me 00027045	01:29:48
20	WAYMO-UBER-00014506, WAYMO-UBER-00012830,	01:29:57
21	WAYMO-UBER-00014078, and WAYMO-UBER-00014489.	01:30:06
22	I can represent to you that those are the	01:30:12
23	same five documents that are cited in the responses	01:30:18
24	to Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 that we have just	01:30:22
25	looked at.	01:30:26
		Page 116

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 36 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	The next sentence reads, That information	01:30:27
2	reflects that costs Waymo necessarily incurred for	01:30:31
3	the development of Trade Secret 96 are in the	01:30:34
4	range.	01:30:39
5	Do you know how that	01:30:41
6	development cost estimate was calculated?	01:30:43
7	A So my understanding is that it is	01:30:48
8	represents the entirety of the program spend from	01:30:49
9	2009 at the inception of the program through 2015 on	01:30:53
10	that spreadsheet that we were looking at.	01:30:57
11	Q The calculation for Trade Secret 96 of	01:31:01
12) is the same calculation as that used	01:31:05
13	for Trade Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25 and Trade	01:31:08
14	Secret 90?	01:31:11
15	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:31:13
16	A It the calculation is the same insofar	01:31:14
17	as it captures the same set of numbers.	01:31:17
18	MS. CHANG: We have been going about an	01:31:56
19	hour. Do you want to take a break or we can also go	01:31:57
20		01:31:59
21	A Sure.	01:32:00
22	MS. CHANG: on?	01:32:00
23	MR. MACK: Sure, we can take a short	01:32:01
24	break.	01:32:02
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record	01:32:03
		Page 117

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 37 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	pointed out in in the data that we have provided	02:33:58
2	in Exhibit Exhibit 1400.	02:34:01
3	Q (BY MS. CHANG) To clarify, I asked about	02:34:03
4	when development of each of the trade secrets was	02:34:05
5	completed.	02:34:09
6	A Right. And and what I'm saying is to	02:34:10
7	the extent that I understand what informed the	02:34:12
8	number, that would be how I would understand that to	02:34:14
9	be true an ending would have occurred. Like, it	02:34:18
10	would have been complete formulation would be	02:34:22
11	complete.	02:34:26
12	Q Your understanding of when each of the	02:34:28
13	trade secrets completed development coincides with	02:34:30
14	the end period used to form the basis of the	02:34:36
15	calculation for the development cost?	02:34:44
16	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	02:34:48
17	scope.	02:34:48
18	A That would be my nontechnical	02:34:49
19	understanding.	02:34:52
20	Q (BY MS. CHANG) As an example, Trade	02:34:52
21	Secret 2 claims a development cost of	02:34:54
22	which you testified was based on the entire program	02:35:01
23	spend for Waymo's self-driving system from inception	02:35:06
24	to 2015.	02:35:10
25	Based on that calculation, your	02:35:13
		Page 146

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 38 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

_		
1	understanding is that Trade Secret 2 completed its	02:35:20
2	development in December 2015; is that right?	02:35:23
3	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	02:35:27
4	scope.	02:35:27
5	A That would be my nontechnical	02:35:29
6	understanding.	02:35:32
7	Q (BY MS. CHANG) I'm handing you what was	02:35:38
8	previously marked as Exhibit 1079. Exhibit 1079 is	02:35:40
9	Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jordan Jaffe in	02:36:11
10	support of Waymo's Motion for Preliminary	02:36:19
11	Injunction. I can represent that to you.	02:36:21
12	If you turn to the second page, this	02:36:26
13	document is identified as "Plaintiff's List of	02:36:31
14	Asserted Trade Secrets Pursuant to California Code	02:36:34
15	Civil Procedure Section 2019.210.	02:36:39
16	Do you recognize this document?	02:36:43
17	A No.	02:36:48
18	Q You have never seen this document before?	02:36:48
19	A No.	02:36:50
20	Q If you turn to page 2 of Exhibit 1079, it	02:37:25
21	reads,	
		02:37:50
		Page 147
L		

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1595 Filed 09/15/17 Page 39 of 39 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

I, MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427, Certified 1 2 Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, certify; 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth, at which time the witness declared under penalty of 5 perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all 6 objections made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed under my direction and supervision; that 8 the foregoing is a full, true, and correct 9 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the 10 testimony so given; That before completion of the deposition, 11 12 review of the transcript () was (xx) was not 13 requested: () that the witness has failed or refused to approve the transcript. 14 I further certify that I am not financially interested in the action, and I am not a relative or 15 employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any 16 of the parties. 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and 18 correct, dated this 25th day of August 2017. 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427 25

Page 235